

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/079,192	02/19/2002	Gary Handwerker	8033-1014	7298
23644	7590 09/23/2004		EXAMINER	
	THORNBURG	LONEY, DONALD J		
P.O. BOX 278	36			
CHICAGO, IL 60690-2786			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			1772	

DATE MAILED: 09/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	*				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/079,192	HANDWERKER, GARY		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Donald Loney	1772		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Fe</u>	ebruary 2004.			
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1,2,4,6-15,17-20 and 22-27 is/are penda) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1,2,4,6-15,17-20 and 22-27 is/are rejection(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 February 2004</u> is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction to the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmen	• •				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)			
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Draπsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P1O-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/079,192

Art Unit: 1772

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1,2,4,6-15,17-20 and 22-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 98/10216.

WO 98/10216 to Aanestad teaches insulation that contains two outer layers (16 or 20 and 18 or 22), two bubble insulating layers (10, 12) there between with reflective layers (16, 18) on what can be considered either the bubble layers or outer layer when not looking at layers 16 and 18 as outer layers. Refer to the Figure, Abstract and page 11, line 32 through page 14, line 2 along with the claims. The reference contains layers that would read upon the four arrangements of independent claims 1,7,14 and 23. For claim 1, either layer 16 or 20 can be considered the top layer, layer 10 is the first insulated bubble layer, layer 12 the bottom insulative bubble layer with reflective film 18 thereon. For claim 7, either layer 16 or 20 can be considered the top layer, layer 10 or 12 the insulative bubble layer, layer 22 the bottom layer with reflective layer 18 thereon. For claim 14, either layer 16 or 20 can be considered the top layer, layers 10 and 12 the bubble layer and layer 22 the bottom layer with reflective film 18 thereon. For claim 23, layer 16 or 20 can be considered the top layer, layers 10 and 12 the first and

Art Unit: 1772

second insulative layers, layer 22 the bottom layer with reflective films 18 or 16 on one of the first or second insulative layers.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1,2,4,6-15,17-20 and 22-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Handwerker (5549956).

Handwerker teaches an insulation blanket with two inner bubble layers (16, 30) enveloped by two outer layers (12, 14) wherein a reflective layer(s) (18,32) is/are located between (i.e., on both the outer and bubble layer) the bubble layers and outer layers. Refer to Fig. Nos. 4-6 along with the corresponding text to the numbers referred to above. Handwerker layers 12,18,16,34,30,32 and 14 are equivalent to Aanestad layers 20,16,10,14,12,18 and 22 respectively as discussed above, except for layer 34 being a foil in Handwerker and a plastic film in Aanestad. Therefore, the layers apply to the claims as explained above just using the reference numbers in Handwerker that equate to Aanestad.

### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed February 2, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues the arrangement of the layers. The examiner has shown above how each layer in the prior art equates to the instant claims. The

Art Unit: 1772

applicant also seems to be arguing that the prior art has extra layers in particular locations not recited in the instant claims, however, the applicant is not excluding any additional layers with the open claim language drawn to "comprising". Even the recitation of top, bottom and outer are just relative to how one looks upon the prior art and does not distinguish for the prior art when the prior art shows layers that can be structurally equivalent there to (i.e. in the same location). A reference can have what one can consider multiple outer layers on one side of a structure.

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 1772

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald Loney whose telephone number is (571) 272-1493. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri. 8AM-4PM, maxi-flex schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Donald Loney Primary Examiner Art Unit 1772 Page 5

DJL:D.Loney 09/17/04